	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/900,493	FREED ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christian La Forgia	2131
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Christian La Forgia</u> .	(3)	•
(2) Kent Sieffert (41,312).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 31 January 2007	Time: <u>10:00 am</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 16 and 19		,
Prior art documents discussed: N/A	,	•
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE The Examiner proposed a claim amendment to move claim 19 i Kent Sieffert on Wednesday 31, January 2007.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	ne examiner will provide a ware record of the substance of	ritten summary of the substance the interview, since the interview
		•
•		•
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	t/Applicant's Representative	e Signature – if appropriate)